PHENOTYPIC PLASTICITY AND HETEROCHRONY IN CICHLASOMA MANAGUENSE (PISCES, CICHLIDAE) AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR SPECIATION IN CICHLID FISHES
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Abstract. — Cichlid fishes in African rift lakes have undergone rapid speciation, resulting in "species flocks" with more than 300 endemic species in some of the lakes. Most researchers assume that there is little phenotypic variation in cichlid fishes. I report here extensive phenotypic plasticity in a Neotropical cichlid species. I examined the influence of diet on trophic morphology during ontogeny in Cichlasoma managuense. Two groups of full siblings were fed two different diets for eight months after the onset of feeding; thereafter both groups were fed a common diet. Phenotypes that differed significantly at 8.5 months converged almost completely at 16.5 months. If feeding on two different diets is continued after 8.5 months, the phenotypes remain distinct. Differences in diet and possibility in feeding mode are believed to have caused these phenotypic changes. Phenotypic plasticity is described in terms of a qualitative model of heterochrony in which phenotypic change in morphology is explained as retardation of the normal developmental rate. If phenotypic expression of morphology is equally plastic in African cichlid species as it may be in the American cichlids, as exemplified by C. managuense, then taxonomic, ecological, and evolutionary analyses of "species flocks" may be in need of revision. However, Old World cichlids may be less phenotypically plastic than New World cichlids, and this may contribute to the observed differences in speciation rate and degree of endemism.
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In number of species and in their ecological diversity, the cichlid fishes of the great African lakes (Tanganyika, Malawi, and Victoria) are unrivaled examples of vertebrate adaptive radiations. In these lakes, cichlids have formed "species flocks" (references in Echelle and Kornfield [1984]) with more than 300 endemic, coexisting, morphospecies. Speciation is thought to have occurred very rapidly. Some researchers (Freyer et al., 1983, 1985) consider cichlid species flocks to be better examples for punctuated modes of evolution than the molluscs of the Turkana Basin (Williamson, 1981, 1985). There is, however, considerable controversy over whether evolutionary and ecological mechanisms can account for the origin and maintenance of the existing species assemblages (e.g., Kosswig, 1947, 1963; Fryer, 1965; Love-McConnell, 1969; Fryer and Iles, 1972; Greenwood, 1974, 1981; McKay, 1980; Dominey, 1984; references in Echelle and Kornfield [1984]).

Although there is no agreement on a particular mode of speciation for the African species flocks, most researchers seem to assume narrow morphological adaptation with little phenotypic variation (e.g., van Ojen et al., 1981; van Ojen, 1982). Studies that explicitly examined the extent of intraspecific morphologic variation in cichlids are rare. Nevertheless, most studies implicitly assume very little morphological variation, and researchers seem to neglect the possibility of the existence of polymorphisms, because, among other characters, differences in colors of breeding males, bathymetric distributions, small differences in body proportions, and dentition are used as taxonomic characters to describe new species (van Ojen et al., 1981; Hoogerhoud et al., 1983). These traits are believed to have ecological significance and to be subject to natural selection (van Ojen, 1982).

Despite continuous interspecific morphological variation in their feeding apparatus, cichlids are divided into "functional groups" (Barel, 1983). The jaws of "biters" are hypothesized to inflict a relatively more powerful bite than those of "suckers," who suck prey directly into the buccal cavity without prior manipulation by the jaws. Barel (1983) coined these terms based on a morphological analysis of African cichlids. He deduced the mode of feeding (function) from morphology (form). This suggests a tight cor-
relation of form and function; however, cichlids are known for their ability to modulate feeding behavior (e.g., Liem, 1978, 1979). Barel's terminology implies functional aspects of the cichlid's morphology, which have not been tested. I will therefore use the terms "obtusorostral" (from the Latin obtusus [blunt, dull] rostrum [snout]) for a biter morphology and "acutoorostral" (from the Latin acutus [pointed, sharp]) for a sucker morphology. These terms describe the shape of the trophic morphology.

The categorization is not dichotomous but continuous and labels hypothetical pure end stages along a morphological continuum. The two types can be distinguished morphometrically: obtusorostral cichlids have i) relatively shorter lower jaws, ii) shorter snouts, iii) steeper and shorter ascending processes of the premaxillary bones, iv) shorter and more ventrally directed ethmoid regions of the neurocranium, and v) deeper adductor mandibulae muscles. The angle of the snout is steeper in obtusorostral cichlids. As a result, the gape of obtusorostral cichlids is not as wide, and the jaws cannot be protruded as far as in the acutoorostral cichlids. This denomination of the trophic morphology of cichlids may have functional implications, which remain to be tested, and may be applicable to other groups of perciform fishes (Liem, pers. comm.).

Laboratory-reared and field-collected specimens of the New World predatory cichlid Cichlasoma managuense show an ontogenetic transformation from obtusorostral to acutoorostral morphology (see ontogenetic trajectory of group I in Fig. 5). This pattern may suggest that the biting mode predominates early in ontogeny, with the suction mode predominating later in life, but the unambiguous assignment of feeding modes to these shape categories must be delayed until high-speed filming of the feeding events of the fish has been done.

During biting, the predator moves while the prey remains stationary, and prey is manipulated with the oral jaws. In suction feeding, the mouth of the predator expands rapidly, drawing water and prey into the buccal cavity; the prey is moved into the predator's mouth at a speed faster than the approaching speed of the predator.

It is commonly thought that morphological characters are more stable than behavioral characteristics of species and that behavioral changes precede morphological ones during speciation (Wiens, 1977; Lauder, 1983; Liem and Kaufman, 1984; Sage et al., 1984). The dazzling variety of specialized morphological characters in African cichlids, nevertheless, is traditionally explained as a result of selection for trophic specialization which occurred during periods of low resource availabilities (reviewed in Greenwood, 1984). "Specialists" may be more efficient in exploiting limited food sources (Wiens, 1977; but see Schoener, 1971).

The morphology of a cichlid may not reveal actual food habits, but it does permit reasonable predictions of the potential modes of feeding (how prey is caught). These alleged differences in functional morphology can have far-reaching ecological consequences. Some niches can be exploited only by biters and not by suckers and vice versa (van Oijen et al., 1981). However, in the Neotropical piscivorous Cichlasoma dorfii ontogenetic shifts in stomach contents are not accompanied by large ontogenetic changes in morphology. C. dorfii shows little intrapopulational phenotypic variation (Meyer, unpubl.). Evidence has accumulated from studies of functional morphology (Liem, 1973, 1978, 1979, 1980; Liem and Osse, 1975; Liem and Kaufman, 1984) and ecology (e.g., Greenwood, 1967; Hoogenhoud et al., 1983; McKay and Marsh, 1983) that cichlids are more opportunistic feeders than had been assumed previously. Cichlids can modify their feeding modes in accordance with the location and type of the prey in the water column and switch to more diverse diets if particular prey species become abundant.

Morphological Variation in Cichlid Fishes

Despite the recent increase in accounts of "ecological plasticity" in Old World cichlids, reports of morphological variation in Old World cichlid species have been published for only two species (Astrophototrophochromis alluaudi [Greenwood, 1965; Hoogenhoud, 1986] and Haplochromis squamipinnis [Witte, 1984]), and only one trophic polymorphism is known in New World
cichlids (Cichlasoma miniacyli [Sage and Selandar, 1975; Kornfield et al., 1982; Kornfield and Taylor, 1983]). A systematic approach to the study of phenotypic plasticity in cichlid fishes is needed.

Because considerable morphological variation exists in natural populations of C. managuense (Meyer, unpubl.), I suspected that environmental factors influence the phenotypic expression of morphology in this species. I therefore examined the influence of diet on the phenotype during ontogeny in C. managuense by raising split broods of full siblings on different diets in the laboratory.

**Materials and Methods**

The male of the spawning pair was caught in Lago de Masaya in Nicaragua and the female was the daughter of a wild-caught fish from the same location. Young fish of the same lab-spawned brood were assigned randomly to one of two groups: group I was fed nauplii of Artemia salina, and group II was fed commercially available flake food and lab-reared nematode worms. The spawning occurred in July 1983.

These diets were employed because they are similar to diets that have been used in two laboratory studies on morphological variation in African cichlids by Greenwood (1965) and Witte (1984). The nauplii of A. salina are known to be nutritionally well balanced and are frequently used by commercial fish breeders. Nauplii and adults of A. salina are probably nutritionally similar. The flake food (Kordon products) was assumed to be nutritionally sufficient. The nematodes were reared in the laboratory on a substrate that was supplemented with a multivitamin preparation. Nutritional deficiencies were unlikely. The fish were fed ad libitum once daily, and the maintenance conditions were the same for both groups.

The fish of both groups received their respective diets for eight months after the onset of feeding, two weeks after spawning. They were then X-rayed for the first time to record their morphology at that stage of ontogeny. The diets of the fish of both groups were then switched to adult A. salina, which were then switched again after feeding on this new diet for two weeks (second X-ray). The third X-ray was taken after feeding on adult A. salina for eight months at the total age of 16.5 months. A control group of group II with nine specimens was maintained on a flake-food diet for 17 months and then X-rayed. The youngest age of maturity under laboratory conditions is around 12 months (pers. observ.).

All fish were lightly anaesthetized with phenoxyethanol during the X-ray procedure. The X-ray procedure is not believed to harm the fish (Meyer, unpubl.), and groups were subjected to the same treatment. There were 11 fish in group I and 16 fish in group II at the first two X-rays. At the third X-ray, the sample size of group II was reduced to 11, due to death of five specimens.

Morphological measurements made from the X-rays were standard length, head length, snout length, eye length, lower jaw length, cheek depth, and snout acuteness (Fig. 1). They were measured as defined by Barel et al. (1977), although they were not measured directly from specimens but, rather, from X-rays (Meyer, unpubl.). These measurements and ratios of measurements are important predictors for the categorization of fish into obtusostroral and acuturostral types (Barel, 1983). The young fish were not measured at the beginning of the experiment, because it is difficult to measure such small fish (less than 8 mm in total length) without harming them. A Gaussian distribution of
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**Fig. 1.** Schematic diagram (adapted from Barel et al. [1977]) of a cichlid head depicting measurements taken in this study, as defined by Barel et al. (1977). See legend of Table 1 for an explanation of abbreviations.
Fig. 2. First X-rays of a) group I fish and b) group II fish, after eight months of feeding on different diets. Group I fish show the acutorostral morphology, and group II fish exhibit a more obtusostral morphology.
Table 1. Morphological measurements of the three X-rays of both groups of fish. SL is standard length (mm), HL is head length, ChD is cheek depth, LJJ is lower jaw length, EyL is eye length, SnL is snout length, and SnA is snout acuteness. Except in the cases of SL and SnA (degrees), measurements were first made in mm and then allometrically adjusted (see Materials and Methods for details). The diet was changed after the first X-ray. Multiple comparisons required adjustment of the type-I error rate using Sivák’s multiplicative inequality (Sokal and Rohlf, 1981). The most conservative estimate of the smallest $t$ value at an adjusted $P = 0.05$ type-I error rate was $t = 3.443$ ($df = 21$, $k = 21$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th></th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th></th>
<th>Comparison of means</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>$P$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First X-ray (8.5 months):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>31.47</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>26.87</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HL</td>
<td>12.56</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChD</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LJJ</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EyL</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnL</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnA</td>
<td>68.91</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>79.91</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second X-ray (9 months):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>34.63</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>31.28</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HL</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChD</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LJJ</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EyL</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnL</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnA</td>
<td>70.18</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>75.03</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third X-ray (16.5 months):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>46.58</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>44.21</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HL</td>
<td>12.49</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>12.47</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChD</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LJJ</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EyL</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnL</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnA</td>
<td>65.80</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>69.73</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most measures of shape covary in some way with size. Therefore heterogeneity in the sizes across samples will result in heterogeneity in shape (Strauss, 1984; Wilhelm, 1984). It is necessary to compare the shape between groups free of the confounding effect of size on shape; therefore, one needs to adjust the data. With the exception of standard length and snout acuteness, the data were adjusted with Thorpe’s (1975) method of allometrically adjusting shape to size. For the data in Table 1, the pooled group allometric coefficients were calculated and used to adjust the data. For the data in Table 2, the common within-group allometric coefficients were calculated for group II at the first X-ray and the control group at 17 months. The equations are given in Thorpe (1975) and Reist (1983, 1986).

This method was found to be one of two univariate methods that removed shape from the effects of size adequately and without detrimental statistical effects (Reist, 1983, 1986). Comparisons between the two groups of all three X-rays were done with one-way ANOVAs. All statistical tests were done with the SYSTAT statistical package (Wilkinson, 1986).

RESULTS

After the fish in both groups had been fed different diets for the first eight months, almost all measurements were significantly different for the two groups (Table 1: first X-ray). Only the differences in head length and cheek depth of the allometrically adjusted data were not statistically significant. At 8.5 months of age, group I fish exhibited the ontogenetically more advanced acurostral shape (Fig. 2a), and group II fish had...
Fig. 3. Third X-rays of a) group I fish and b) group II fish after eight months of feeding on the same diet (age = 16.5 months). Fish of both groups show the acuterostral morphology.
a more obtusorostral shape (Fig. 2b). The measurement in cheek depth went in the opposite direction of Barel’s (1983) classification.

Following the switch to the new diet, the ontogenetic trajectories (Gould, 1977; Alberch et al., 1979) of group II began to converge rapidly on the phenotype of group I (Table 1: second X-ray). After feeding for two weeks on the same diet, at the age of 9 months, the differences in head length, cheek depth, and eye length became statistically insignificant (Table 1: second X-ray). After both groups had received the same diet for eight months, at the age of 16.5 months, all morphological measurements followed the same pattern and became statistically indistinguishable between groups (Table 1: third X-ray). Fish of both groups looked almost identical by the time of the third X-ray (Fig. 3). Only snout acuteness was still somewhat different between the groups (Table 1: third X-ray). Snout acuteness and cheek depth had the largest measurement error, particularly when measured from X-rays (Meyer, unpubl.).

Nine C. managuense, of a different group but from the same parents, were kept on a flake-food diet for 17 months. The phenotypes of these fish remained obtusorostral. In Table 2, I compare the allometrically adjusted measurements of this group with the obtusorostral shape of group II at the first X-ray. The fish do not differ in lower jaw length, eye length, or snout length, are somewhat different in snout acuteness, but differ in head length and cheek depth. The relative lower jaw length in this group is obtusorostral ($\bar{x} = 0.749$, SE = 0.031; see legend of Fig. 4) and not significantly different from group II at the age of 8.5 months. Thus, if feeding on the diet of group II is continued after 8.5 months, convergence to a common mature phenotype will not occur (stippled line in Fig. 5), and the mature phenotypes will therefore be different. However, a diet change in 8.5-month-old C. managuense from A. salina to flake food does not seem to change their phenotype to an obtusorostral morphology, and the fish remain on the acutorostral ontogenetic trajectory (Meyer, unpubl.).

**Discussion**

**Heterochrony and Phenotypic Plasticity**

The dietary differences and possible differences in feeding mode seem to have caused differential timing of developmental events. Below, I present a qualitative model.
to describe the heterochronic processes (Alberch et al., 1979) that I believe to have occurred and their relation to phenotypic plasticity and canalization of development (Figs. 4, 5).

If one visualizes a growing organism as a point moving in a shape, size, and age space, one obtains ontogenetic trajectories by connecting those points (Gould, 1977; Alberch et al., 1979). The ontogenetic trajectory of group I is the “normal” developmental pathway: most Cichlasoma managuense pass quickly through the obtusostral stage (Meyer, unpubl.). The morphology of group I did not change much among the three X-rays; the fish reached their mature acutostostral shape early in ontogeny (Table 1; Figs. 4, 5). The feeding mode of group I probably did not change throughout the study.

The rate of change in shape of group II was apparently retarded initially. The shift in diet (and possibly in feeding mode) that the fish in group II experienced at 5.3 months caused an acceleration in the rate of change in shape. Flake food and living brine shrimp will be captured by means of different feeding modes (Liem, pers. comm.). This led to a convergence of the phenotype of group II on the phenotype of group I (acutostostral morphology) after the second X-ray (at maturity; Figs. 4, 5). This convergence is confirmed by the unaltered obtusostral shape of fish maintained on the flake-food diet for 17 months (Table 2).

A model like this, combining heterochrony with intraspecific morphological variation, could easily be modified to depict other environmental perturbations (e.g., shifts in temperature during development or shifts in prey availabilities) that may cause conversions on common phenotypes from originally different phenotypes or divergence of originally similar phenotypes into different morphologies.

Implications of Phenotypic Plasticity in Cichlasoma managuense

The morphological variation in the oral jaws of this Neotropical species is far larger than the previous account of the extent of phenotypic plasticity in oral jaws in an African cichlid fish (Witte, 1984). The two groups (at the first X-ray) differ in some features more than do some sister species or even genera of African cichlids (Hoogerhoud et al., 1983). The case of Cichlasoma managuense may serve as a cautionary note to taxonomists describing new species based on small differences in body proportions. If one searches for optimally adapted designs, one may find that, beca...
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Table 2. Morphological measurements of the X-rays of group II fish after eight months on their diet and of the control group, which was kept on the flake-food diet for 17 months. Abbreviations of traits are as given in Table 1. Except in the cases of SL (mm), SnA (degrees), and the relative lower jaw length (LjL/HJ), measurements were first made in mm and then allometrically adjusted (see Materials and Methods for details). LjL/HJ (the ratio of log-transformed measurements) are given to allow comparison with data in Figure 4. Multiple comparisons required adjustment of the type-I error rate using Steel’s multiplicative inequality (Sokal and Rohlf, 1981). The most conservative estimate of the smallest t value at an adjusted P = 0.05 type-I error rate was t = 2.931 (d.f. = 24, k = 7).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Comparison of means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5.3 months)</td>
<td>(17 months)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>26.87</td>
<td>48.40</td>
<td>4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HJ</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChD</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LjL</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EyL</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnL</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnA</td>
<td>79.91</td>
<td>74.33</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LjL/HJ</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

one may find that, because of the pheno-
typic response to environmental fluctuations, a given species may seem to be adapted to more than one feeding task.

If the effects of diet on morphology are similar in other cichlids, as they might be in Cichlasoma citrinellum (see below), then phenotypic plasticity in natural populations may ultimately be a reflection of differential patterns of resource availabilities and/or prey choice, which are known to occur (e.g., Hoogerhoud et al., 1983; Katsen, 1983) and which may influence mature pheno-
types.

The Ubiquity of Phenotypic Plasticity and Polymorphisms in Fishes

The degree of morphological plasticity within fishes may be larger and much more widespread than has been previously assumed (but see Turner and Rosse 1980; Vrijenhoek et al., 1987). Trophic polymorphism in the pharyngeal jaw structure has been found in another Neotropical cichlid species, Cichlasoma citrinellum (Meyer, unpubl.). The variant forms resemble the case of the only known trophic polymorphism in New World cichlids, C. micklei (Sage and Selander, 1975; Kornfield and Taylor, 1983). Differences in the pharyngeal jaws of morphs in these two species are as large as the ones used by Greenwood (1980) to separate two genera (Geurochromis and Labidochromis) of African cichlids. I am currently testing the importance of environ-
mental components in the trophic polymorphism in C. citrinellum.

Phenotypic Variation and Speciation

Plasticity could be expressed either as distinct morphs through "developmental con-
version" or as continuous morphological variation through "phenotypic modulation" (Sensu Smith-Gill, 1983). Trophic polymorphism has been hypothesized to be an intermediate step during speciation of cichlids (Sage and Selander, 1975). Therefore, if African cichlids were to exhibit a comparable degree of phenotypic plasticity, evolutionary biologists would have to consider intraspecific variation in morphology and in ecology, as well as in behavior (particularly in feeding and mate choice) when attempting to model the rapid evolution of the cichlid species flock. One might hypothesize that many more African species will be found to be polymorphic, and fewer species than previously assumed may exist.

However, the amount of phenotypic plasticity in the oral jaws in Old World cichlids reported by Witte (1984) is small in comparison to the one reported here. If Witte's results are typical of the extent of phenotypic plasticity in African cichlids, then African cichlids may be less plastic than American cichlids. This hypothesis calls for research on the extent of phenotypic plasticity of more species from both hemispheres. (I assume that the taxonomic criteria for assigning species rank to taxa is the
same in the Old World as it is in the New World.)

This difference in plasticity would then be one additional factor contributing to the observed contrasting rates of speciation and degrees of endemism between Old World and New World cichlids. Plasticity may be a form of inertia against speciation. This is in line with the traditional view that phenotypically plastic traits evolve slower than canalized traits (e.g., Sultain, 1987; but see Stearsn [1983]).

Not all groups of African cichlids exhibit the same degree of endemism in the East African rift lakes: the *Tilapia* are much less species-rich than other groups of Old World cichlids. For example, in contrast to haplochromine cichlids, they speciated at significantly lower rates from their riverine ancestors. Tilapine cichlids are known for their adaptability to environmental perturbations and the ease with which they hybridize. They have been introduced in many habitats worldwide and they have actually invaded marine habitats such as coral reefs. I would predict tilapian cichlids to be more phenotypically plastic than, e.g., haplochromine cichlids and other groups of African cichlids with a high degree of endemism.

**Developmental Pathways and Phenotypic Plasticity**

Most African cichlids differ from Neotropical cichlids in their predominant modes of reproduction. Most African cichlids are mouthbrooders, which have few precocial offspring (which are well developed at the onset of feeding), but most Neotropical cichlids are substrate spawners, which have far more altricial offspring (references in Balon [1985]; Meyer, 1987). This difference in mode of reproduction and developmental stage during first feeding may be correlated with different developmental pathways, which may in turn be related to differing degrees of canalization of development. However, *Tilapia* also are mouthbrooders and would tend to refute this idea.

Contrasting degrees of phenotypic plasticity may result from differing degrees of canalization or differing strengths of ontogenetic buffers during ontogeny (Waddington, 1942; Waddington and Robertson, 1966; Katz and Lasek, 1978; Katz et al., 1981; Rendel, 1979; Alberch, 1982; references in Bonner [1982]).

The concepts of phenotypic plasticity, heterochrony, and evolutionary theory have not been unified. Attempts have been made to incorporate phenotypic plasticity into evolutionary theory (Via and Lande, 1985), and hypotheses about the importance of heterochrony in macroevolution have been advanced by Gould (e.g., 1977) and Alberch (e.g., 1980). Here, I have applied the concept of heterochrony, which advanced the understanding of some phenomena of interspecific morphological variation (ancestor-descendant relationships), to intraspecific epigenetic morphological variation. Investigations of the relationship between phenotypic variation and speciation rates are just starting (Hoffman, 1982). This area of research deserves more effort and promises fruitful insights.

The results of this study demonstrate the large potential for environmental influences on the phenotype in *Cichlasoma managuense*. These experiments suggest a feedback system between diet, feeding mode, and morphology in cichlid fishes. Unfortunately, they do not provide information on the respective influence of diet and feeding mode. Experiments to isolate these factors are underway: fish are raised on nutritionally identical diets that are presented in manners that require different feeding modes for ingestion. I am testing the exclusive influence of the feeding mode on morphology, a feedback system which had been neglected.
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